## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. $\sqrt{8-0R-576}$
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Kim Corl	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
Defendant(s).	, i
Trial Act from 123 19 to 31119	and finds that the ends of justice served by the and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § asses this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the por law, that it is unreasonable to expe	the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial ed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ue diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given nitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, t See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the couparagraph and — based on the partie the time limits for a preliminary hear extending the 30-day time period for	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt art sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first es' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ring under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 1/23/19	Unguer I De Marchi Virginia K. De Marchi
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Mr Hay	
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney